

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/762,800	01/22/2004	James S. Gerber	OPT 50 5387			
7:	590 06/19/2006		EXAM	INER		
Henry I. Schanzer, Esq.			NGUYEN, 1	NGUYEN, HOANG M		
29 Brookfall ro Edison, NJ 08			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3748			

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		e
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/762,800	JAMES S. GERBER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hoang M. Nguyen	3748	

	Hoang M.	Nguyen	3748			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	iress		
THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	wing replies	: (1) an amendment, affeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)	Advisory Actio	on, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, who date of the final rejection	nichever is later. In		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CI					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the tension and t shortened sta than three n	the corresponding amount atutory period for reply original	of the fee. The appropinally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action: or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration	the date of filing a brief, and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	correspond	ing number of finally rej	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21 See atte	ached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			mpliant Amendment	(I TOL-524).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).				_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered, or b) 🗌 wi or appended.	ll be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or o	on the date of filing a No reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> rit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome al	rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the stat	tus of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Note the attached Office Action.				nce because:		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: Note the PTO-892 form. 	(PTO/SB/08	3 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	Bylalop		
			0	وا اعدد		
			Hoang M Nguyen Primary Examiner	`		

Art Unit: 3748

Applicant's amendment after final dated May 31, 2006, has been fully considered.

Applicant has argued that it's not possible to determine the drafts of floats 5, 6, in Dick. Whether or not that may be true, Dick still teaches the claimed invention. At least in figure 4, float 6 has a smaller draft than float 5. At that moment, the 102(b) rejection is valid. Even though the Examiner agrees that in other figures, float 6 has higher draft than float 5, but that is not used to reject the claims

Applicant has argued that his outer float is flat and his inner float is an elongate spar. Dick clearly discloses the same structure. Note figure 4 in Dick, the outer float 6 has a flat bottom (elements 8, 10 are not parts of the float 6), and inner float 5 is an elongate spar. Also, please note elements 7, 9, may be considered as inner floats with higher draft than outer float 6 also.

Applicant's arguments for claims 2-3 are found persuasive and the Examiner agrees to withdraw the 103 rejections on those claims. Claims 2-3 are now objected to on the merit.

Applicant's attention is also directed to a newly cited reference, US 5842838 (Berg). Berg discloses in figure 4, a flat outer float 26 and an inner elongate spar float comprising elements 102 and 70. The outer float 26 always has smaller draft than the

Art Unit: 3748

inner float. Even though Berg has not been used to reject this application, the Examiner would like Applicant to reconsider his position because it's clear that claims 1, 4-7 are not allowable. Applicant is advised to include the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 to put this case in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 6/14/2006